Coupled-channel study of baryon resonances with charm

J. Hofmann* and M.F.M. Lutz*

*Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung (GSI) Planck Str. 1, 64291 Darmstadt, Germany

Abstract. Identifying a zero-range exchange of vector mesons as the driving force for the s-wave scattering of pseudo-scalar mesons off the baryon ground states, a rich spectrum of molecules is formed. We argue that chiral symmetry and large- N_c considerations determine that part of the interaction which generates the spectrum. A bound state with exotic quantum numbers is predicted at mass 2.78 GeV. It couples strongly to the $(\bar{D}_s N), (\bar{D}\Lambda), (\bar{D}\Sigma)$ channels. A further charm minusone system is predicted at mass 2.84 GeV as a result of $(\bar{D}_s\Lambda), (\bar{D}\Xi)$ interactions. The two so far observed s-wave baryons with charm one are recovered. We argue that the $\Lambda_c(2880)$ is not a s-wave state. In addition to those states we predict the existence of about ten narrow s-wave baryon states with masses below 3 GeV. A triplet of crypto-exotic states decaying dominantly into channels with an η' is obtained with masses 4.24 GeV and 4.44 GeV.

INTRODUCTION

It is the purpose of the present talk to review recent progress on the nature of charmed baryon systems [1]. Empirically the QCD spectrum of charmed baryon states is poorly studied so far. It is important to correlate the properties of charmed states to those firmly established, applying a unified and quantitative framework. Our strategy is to extend previous works [2, 3] that performed a coupled-channel study of the s-wave scattering processes where a Goldstone boson hits an open-charm baryon ground state. The spectrum of $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ and $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ molecules obtained in [2, 3] is quite compatible with the so far very few observed states. We mention that analogous computations successfully describe the spectrum of open-charm mesons with $J^P = 0^+$ and 1^+ quantum numbers [4, 5]. These developments were driven by the conjecture that meson and baryon resonances that do not belong to the large- N_c ground state of QCD should be viewed as hadronic molecular states [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Extending those computations to include D- and η_c -mesons in the intermediate states leads to additional baryon states [1, 11, 12, 13, 14]. The results of [2, 3] were based on the leading order chiral Lagrangian, that predicts unambiguously the s-wave interaction strength of Goldstone bosons with open-charm baryon states in terms of the pion decay constant. Including the light vector mesons as explicit degrees of freedom in a chiral Lagrangian gives an interpretation of the leading order interaction in terms of the zero-range t-channel exchange of light vector mesons [15, 16, 17, 18, 19]. The latter couple universally to any matter field in this type of approach. Given the assumption that the interaction strength of D- and η_c mesons with the baryon ground states is also dominated by the t-channel exchange of the light vector mesons, we are in a position to perform a quantitative coupled-channel study of charmed baryon resonances.

The interaction strengths of the channels that drive the resonance generation are predicted by chiral and large- N_c properties of QCD. The spectrum of $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ molecules obtained is amazingly rich of structure. Interesting results are obtained in the charm minus one sector. Exotic states with strangeness minus one and two are predicted at mass 2.78 GeV and 2.84 GeV. In the charm one sector we recover the $\Lambda_c(2593)$ as a narrow state coupling strongly to the (DN) and $(D_s\Lambda)$ states. The $\Xi_c(2790)$ is interpreted as a bound state of the $(\bar{K}\Sigma_c), (\eta\Xi'_c)$ system. We argue that the $\Lambda_c(2880)$ discovered by the CLEO collaboration can not be a s-wave state. About ten additional narrow s-wave states are predicted in this sector with masses below 3 GeV.

COUPLED-CHANNEL INTERACTIONS

We study the interaction of pseudoscalar mesons with the ground-state baryons composed out of u,d,s,c quarks. The pseudoscalar mesons that are considered in this work can be grouped into multiplet fields $\Phi_{[9]}$, $\Phi_{[\bar{3}]}$ and $\Phi_{[1]}$, corresponding to the Goldstone-bosons together with the η' meson, the D mesons and the η_c meson.

The baryon states are collected into SU(3) multiplet fields $B_{[8]}$, $B_{[6]}$, $B_{[3]}$ and $B_{[\bar{3}]}$ with charm 0, 1, 1 and 2. We construct the interaction of the mesons and baryon fields with the vector mesons

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int,M}}^{\text{SU}(4)} = \frac{i}{4} g \operatorname{tr} \left(\left[\left(\partial_{\mu} \Phi_{[16]} \right), \Phi_{[16]} \right]_{-} V_{[16]}^{\mu} \right)
+ \frac{1}{4} g \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{4} \bar{B}_{ijk}^{[20]} \gamma^{\mu} \left(V_{\mu,l}^{[16],k} B_{[20]}^{ijl} + 2 V_{\mu,l}^{[16],j} B_{[20]}^{ilk} \right), \tag{1}$$

written down in terms of SU(4)-symmetric fields. Within the hidden local symmetry model [19] chiral symmetry is recovered. It is acknowledged that chiral symmetry does not constrain the coupling constants involving the SU(3) singlet part of the fields. The latter can, however, be constrained by a large- N_c operator analysis [21]. At leading order in the $1/N_c$ expansion the OZI rule [22] is predicted. We emphasize that the combination of chiral and large- N_c constraints determine all couplings of the light vector mesons consistent with the SU(4)-symmetric interaction (1).

The prediction of the vertex (1) can be tested against the decay pattern of the D meson. From the empirical branching ratio [20] we deduce $g = 10.4 \pm 1.4$, which is compared with the estimate $g \simeq 5.8$ that follows from the ρ decay. We observe a small SU(4) breaking pattern. Based on this result one may expect (1) to provide magnitudes for the coupling constants reliable within a factor two. The precise values of the coupling constants will not affect the major results of this work. This holds as long as those coupling constants range in the region suggested by (1) within a factor three.

We consider the s-wave scattering of the pseudo-scalar mesons fields off the baryon fields. The scattering kernel is approximated by the t-channel vector meson exchange force defined by (1), where we apply the formalism developed in [6, 8]. The scattering

$\frac{11000011}{1100000000000000000000000000$						
	(I, S)	$M_R[{ m MeV}]$	Width[MeV]	(I, S)	$M_R[{ m MeV}]$	Width[MeV]
C = -1:	$(\frac{1}{2}, -1)$	2780	0	(0, -2)	2838	0
C = +1:	$(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$	2932	6.9	$(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$	2892	0.6
	(0, 0)	2593	0.05	(0, 0)	2815	0.0001
	(0, 0)	3023	19	(0, 0)	3068	22
	(0, 0)	4238	7.3	(1, 0)	2620	1.4
	(1, 0)	2992	18	$(\frac{1}{2}, -1)$	2672	0.06
	$(\frac{1}{2},-1)$	2793	16	$(\frac{1}{2}, -1)$	2759	0.9
	$(\frac{1}{2},-1)$	3104	43	$(\frac{1}{2}, -1)$	4443	9
	$(\frac{3}{2}, -1)$	3052	15	(0, -2)	2805	0
	(0, -2)	2927	0	(0, -2)	2953	0
	(1, -2)	3815	5	_		

TABLE 1. Spectrum of $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ baryons with charm $C = \pm 1$.

kernel has the form

$$K^{(I,S,C)}(\bar{q},q;w) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{V \in [16]} \frac{C_V^{(I,S,C)}}{t - m_V^2} \left(\frac{\vec{q} + \vec{q}}{2} - (\bar{q}^2 - q^2) \frac{\vec{q} - \vec{q}}{2m_V^2} \right), \tag{2}$$

with the initial and final meson 4-momenta q_{μ} and \bar{q}_{μ} and $t = (\bar{q} - q)^2$. In (2) the scattering is projected onto sectors with conserved isospin (I), strangeness (S) and charm (C) quantum numbers.

The first term of the interaction kernel matches corresponding expressions predicted by the leading order chiral Lagrangian if we put t = 0 in (2) and use the common value for the vector-meson masses suggested by the KSFR relation. The second term in (2) is formally of chiral order Q^3 for channels involving Goldstone bosons. Numerically it is a minor correction but nevertheless it is kept in the computation.

S-WAVE RESONANCES WITH CHARM

The properties of a collection of resonance states with $C=\pm 1$ that are generated dynamically are listed in Tab. 1. The masses of the $(I,S)=(\frac{1}{2},-1)$ and (0,-2) states with C=-1 are predicted at 2.78 GeV and 2.84 GeV respectively. For details of the choices of parameters we refer to [1]. We point out that none of the coupling constants fixed by a SU(4) assumption affect the spectrum. As a consequence of the OZI rule only the t-channel exchange of the light-vector mesons contribute.

Charm systems with C=+1 are quite intriguing since the channels which have either a charmed baryon or a charmed meson are comparatively close in mass. Unfortunately, at present there is very little empirical information available on open-charm s-wave resonances. Only two states, the $\Lambda_c(2593)$ and $\Xi_c(2790)$ [20] are discovered so far. We

claim that the $\Lambda_c(2880)$ observed by the CLEO collaboration [25] can not be a s-wave resonance. This will be substantiated below.

In previous coupled-channel computations the effect of the light pseudo-scalar mesons as they scatter off charmed baryons was studied [2, 3]. We confirm the striking prediction of such computations which suggest the existence of strongly bound $\bar{3}$, 6 but also weakly bound $\bar{3}$, 6, $\bar{15}$ systems. These multiplets are formed by scattering the octet of Goldstone bosons off the baryon anti-triplet and sextet.

$$8 \otimes \overline{3} = \overline{3} \oplus 6 \oplus \overline{15}, \qquad 8 \otimes 6 = \overline{3} \oplus 6 \oplus \overline{15} \oplus 24.$$
 (3)

For $8 \otimes \overline{3}$ scattering chiral dynamics predicts attraction in anti-triplet, sextet and repulsion in the $\overline{15}$ -plet [2]. For $8 \otimes 6$ scattering attraction is foreseen in anti-triplet, sextet, $\overline{15}$ -plet with decreasing strength. Further multiplets are generated by the scattering of the anti-triplet mesons of the octet baryons. The decomposition is given already in (3). In this case we find attraction in the anti-triplet, sextet and the $\overline{15}$ -plet. If we switch off the t-channel forces defined by the exchange of heavy vector mesons the three types of resonances discussed above do not communicate with each other. This is a direct consequence of the chiral SU(3) symmetry. It forbids the transition of an anti-triplet baryon into a sextet baryon under the radiation of a light vector meson. Since the exchange of heavy vector mesons, which mixes the three kinds of states, is largely suppressed, the SU(4) assumption in (1) has a very minor effect on the resonance spectrum.

The anti-triplet states are identified most easily in the (0,0) sector. The narrow state at 2.593 GeV couples strongly to the anti-triplet mesons. It has properties amazingly consistent with the $\Lambda_c(2593)$ [20]. The empirical width is $3.6^{+2.0}_{-1.3}$ MeV. This narrow state is almost degenerate in mass with a chiral excitation of the triplet baryons [2, 3]. It decays dominantly into the $\pi \Sigma_c$ channel giving it a width of about 50 MeV. A further narrow state at 2.815 GeV is the second chiral excitation of the anti-triplet baryons [2, 3] in this sector. Since it couples strongly to the $\eta \Lambda_c(2285)$ channel, one should not associate this state with the $\Lambda_c(2880)$ detected by the CLEO collaboration [25] via its decay into the $\pi \Sigma_c(2453)$ channel. The narrow total width of the observed state of smaller than 8 MeV [25] appears inconsistent with a large coupling of that state to the open $\eta \Lambda_c$ channel. The chiral excitation of the 6 baryon is quite broad in this sector with mass around 2.65 GeV coupling strongly to the $\pi\Sigma_c$ channel. Most spectacular is the $(\frac{1}{2}, -1)$ sector in which we predict 4 narrow states below 4 GeV. The particle data group reports a state $\Xi_c(2790)$ with a decay width smaller than 15 MeV. It is naturally identified with the chiral excitation of the sextet baryon of mass 2.79 GeV and width 16 MeV. This state couples strongly to the $\bar{K}\Sigma_c$ and $\eta \Xi_c'$ channels.

Crypto-exotic states with $cc\bar{c}$ content are formed by the scattering of the 3-plet mesons with C=-1 off the triplet baryons with C=2:

$$3 \otimes 3 = \bar{3} \oplus 6, \tag{4}$$

where we predict strong attraction in the anti-triplet sector only. The associated narrow states have masses ranging from 4.1 GeV to 4.4 GeV. Like in the case of the crypto-exotic states in the zero-charm sectors these states decay preferably into channels involving the η' [1]. Depending on the parameter set the widths is large about 200-250 MeV or down to a few MeV.

SUMMARY

We reported on a coupled-channel study of s-wave baryon resonances with charm ± 1 . A rich spectrum is predicted in terms of a t-channel force defined by the exchange of light vector mesons. All relevant coupling constants are obtained from chiral and large- N_c properties of QCD. Less relevant vertices related to the t-channel forces induced by the exchange of charmed vector mesons were estimated by applying SU(4) symmetry.

We confirm the expectation of [23, 24] that penta-quark type states exists with charm minus one. Binding is predicted only in systems with strangeness minus one and minus two. In the charm one sector several so far unobserved narrow states are predicted.

Our predictions can be tested experimentally in part by existing collaborations like SELEX or BELLE. We urge the QCD lattice community to perform unquenched simulations in order to verify or disprove the existence of the predicted states. Such studies are of great importance since they will shed more light on how confinement is realized in nature. The central question - what are the most relevant degrees of freedom responsible for the formation of resonances in QCD - can be studied best in systems involving light and heavy quarks simultaneously. The spectrum of open-charm baryons could be a topic of interest for the FAIR project at GSI.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. Hofmann and M.F.M. Lutz, hep-ph/0507071.
- 2. M.F.M. Lutz and E.E. Kolomeitsev, Nucl. Phys. A 730 (2004) 110.
- 3. M.F.M. Lutz and E. E. Kolomeitsev, Nucl. Phys. A 755 (2005) 29c.
- 4. E.E. Kolomeitsev and M.F.M. Lutz, Phys. Lett. **B 585** (2004) 243.
- 5. J. Hofmann and M.F.M. Lutz, Nucl. Phys. A 733 (2004) 142.
- 6. M.F.M. Lutz and E. E. Kolomeitsev, Nucl. Phys. A 700 (2002) 193.
- 7. M.F.M. Lutz, Gy. Wolf and B. Friman, Nucl. Phys. A 706 (2002) 431.
- 8. M.F.M. Lutz and E.E. Kolomeitsev, Nucl. Phys. A 730 (2004) 392.
- 9. C. García-Recio, M.F.M. Lutz and J. Nieves, Phys. Lett. B 582 (2004) 49.
- 10. E.E. Kolomeitsev and M.F.M. Lutz, Phys. Lett. **B 585** (2004) 243.
- 11. Ch. Hong-Mo and H. Högaasen, Z. Phys. C 7 (1980) 25.
- 12. M. Rho, D.O. Riska and N.N. Scoccola, Z. Phys. A 341 (1992) 343.
- 13. D.-P. Min, Y. Oh, B.-Y. Park and M. Rho, Int. J. Mod. **E 4** (1995) 47.
- 14. Y. Oh and H. Kim, Phys. Rev. **D** 70 (2004) 094022.
- 15. S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. 166 (1968) 1568.
- 16. H.W. Wyld, Phys. Rev. 155 (1967) 1649.
- 17. R.H. Dalitz, T.C. Wong and G. Rajasekaran, Phys. Rev. 153 (1967) 1617.
- 18. P.B. Siegel and W. Weise, Phys. Rev. C 38 (1988) 2221.
- 19. M. Bando et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 54 (1985) 1215.
- 20. S. Eidelman et al., Phys. Lett. **B 592** (2004) 1.
- 21. R. Dashen, E. Jenkins and A.V. Manohar, Phys. Rev. **D** 49 (1994) 4713.
- S. Okubo, Phys. Lett. 5 (1963) 165; G. Zweig, CERN Reports TH-401, TH-412 (1964), unpublished;
 J. Iiuzuka, Prog. Theor. Part. Sci. 37 (1966) 21.
- 23. C. Gignoux, B. Silvestre-Brac and J.M. Richard, Phys. Lett. B 193 (1987) 323.
- 24. H.J. Lipkin, Phys. Lett. B 195 (1987) 484.
- 25. M. Artuso et. al. (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 4479.